

LETTERS

From Ivan Prince

To Anthony Blake

1981-2

Material transcribed by Darlene Franz and Edited by Anthony Blake

2015

1981

[Note at top left:] *Is a current member, but was paying less for last 3 years. Have replied. He's now £5.40 in credit. R.*

46 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple
Devon

30th March 1981

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed cheque for £20.00, £10 of which is for my subscription for 1981-82 and £10 to pay for some of my arrears for membership since 1978-79 when I was paid up to date. Will you please let me know what arrears I am in, I will be pleased to pay these now that my personal financial circumstances are returned to a better position, with my dependents no longer requiring my help.

I have not been inactive over the years of my membership, in supporting our institution when and wherever possible, by pointing many friends and associates, including members of my family to Mr. Bennett via his books and by trying to bring anyone with ability and insight to understand what I was doing in the least, to a state of self realization of the self that [I?] was able to receive from Mr. Bennett, doing a short ten minute personal interview with him back during the early sixties, when I became a member of the institution and afterwards was able to spend a number of weekend one-day visits to Coombe Springs at Kingston.

Our talk consisted of the relevance to his work of such new ideas as were being expressed by such subjects as:

Cybernetics. The science of communication and control in nature.

Information Theory and Probability Theory


Systems Engineering and Systems Management.

when he suddenly switched to explaining in the terms of the above subjects what an angel was, what was meant by the statement in the Book of Revelations "the angel of the church of..." the angel of France and 'the angel of a great or small community of people working together in a symbiotic system that brought into being an instrument for angelic work and activity' and creativity and how only a self realized man could participate in the work of angels, for it was only in a state of self realization that we know that an angel was a cosmic body of ours 'from that point of view, from any other point of view an angel is not known but only a group or society of apparently conflicting members.

The Institute for the Comparative Study of History, Philosophy and Sciences Ltd. is a composite [cooperate, corporate?] body of conflicting members from one point of view, from one of self realization it is an angel, given birth by and conceived by, brought up by Mr. Bennett, to grow into a strong, strong cosmic being to do the work in accordance with the will of "GOD," "THE CENTRE," "I".

Angels are mortal but can have a life of hundreds of years. I have heard Mr. Bennett 'say' to me recently write this letter to the Institute and say "I" is eternal, 'AM' is my body – "I" + "I" + "I" + "I" = "I" but 'AM' + 'AM' AM AM = multiplicity. Tell Mr. Blake keep my angel alive for the work is not yet complete, my angel "AM," [This?] hosts of angels but it is important each should complete his own development before combining in a higher synthesis.

Yours sincerely, Ivan E. Price



Mr. Blake I hope this means something to you. I felt compelled to send this to you.

I. E. Prince
46 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple
North Devon

6th April '81

Dear Sir,

I recently wrote you a short note in my letter forwarding a cheque for subscription for membership. I apologise for a badly written letter, but, as I said I was compelled to write it and send it to you as quickly as possible, as though time was important and that you were to receive it urgently, otherwise events would move in a wrong direction, based on some wrong influence acting on you that could destroy the “cosmic angelic body” of Mr. Bennett by what you were doing or intended to do (what that is or could be was not communicated to me). I hope this is explained from what I have written below.

I [warn?] you, that today, writing this letter to you in the cold demands of my daily [sic] business responsibilities all this seems strange and unreal, and I feel silly in writing it, but the experience I had was so startling and so compelling that I just could not help but out and write to you as I did.

The experience and astonishing feeling of being spoken to from inside one's chest and not being a part of Mr. Bennett's “angelic cosmic being” was a shock, I don't know how he conveyed the feeling of being outside this work, but he wanted to use me, or that he was and “angelic cosmic being,” or what that meant. It felt 'he' was communicating to me something 'he' wanted you to know, because of my presence with him for a few minutes years ago. That this something, was something he had only ever told me, in such a way, that I could communicate it to you when it was needed. This all came about when I decided I ought to pay my membership dues and arrears. It suddenly came on me as a deep deep feeling of remorse and pain for heedlessness, from which came a reawakening of my meeting with him years ago (1963) in person, and a total understanding of what he conveyed to me then about angels. From an awakened point of view it all was clear and vibrant with with [sic] meaning and real. Today is seems silly and out of context and meaning, with the cold light of day. (like coming out of a cinema, or theater, and a good play, with the cold light of day and wet streets, running for a bus, the last one home)

I now struggle to write this – he said – Tell Mr. Blake – With angels and archangels and all the Hosts of Heaven we praise and glorify High Holy name

Oh! God Most-High, AMEN!!

But say –

With Galaxies, Stars, Moons, Earth, Dandelions & Buttercups, Angels and Archangels and all the Hosts of Heaven, Electrons, Atoms, We praise and glorify His Holy name, Oh God,

Most-High, Amen!!

Know that before "I" – God Most High all is equal in status, me and an angel, or buttercup, or star, or electro, or atom.

"I" God said, 'I AM that "I" AM, AM-ING IS THE WILLING OF 'I' – God Most High.

AM-ING is universal and is the evolution and involution the coming and going of all that is was and ever shall be, Amen!!!

'I do not will 'I' to be 'I' but AM-ING because of am-ing, you are, angels are, stars are, and everything in "I" AM THAT 'I' am, Amen!!

AM-ING is the great work of 'I' – God Most High.

There is reciprocity between 'I' and AM.

AM-ING is the GREAT WORK of 'I' – God Most High.

To us men, it is given, without doubt that we are and it is our work to continue to be, as our part of the great work, growing food for a family or becoming an angel. It is my work to be as an angel to work for the Great Work but your work is to be my servant, don't feel hurt in pride, the servant is as great as the master, before God both are the same.

Tell what you know because I told you.

$I + I + I \dots + I = I$

$AM + AM + AM \dots + AM = \text{MULTIPLICITY}$

The above is approximately what I felt to be said, now I look back it could be my own imagination. I don't know, however, I will tell you as near as I can what he, Mr. Bennett, told me year ago. I could not be at peace with myself if I did not.

Mr. Bennett conveyed to me the motive and being of an angel - how they came into being, how they were disloyal, how one communicated with them and how they were the next stage of mankind's social development. That there were 'holy' angels and 'stupid' angels 'clever' ones and 'good' ones. They are born from a state of 'self realization' of a human being generalising a field pattern attracting other people into a structured dynamic group system which in its full development is a symbiotic self-conscious organisation, evolved out of the general social matrix of mankind. They are mortal, but can have a life of hundreds or even thousands of years within the limits of the solar system, it will become clear with a self-conscious, self-realized, Sociology what they are. The particle was before the sun, the cell was before the angels, man was before the angels; self-realized angels the archangels are at one with the Lord our Creator the being of the Sun. Mr. Bennett was emphatic that it was because of the comprehension made possible by cybernetics and Systems Theory and Information Theory that it was just possible for us to become dimly aware of what angelic

beings are. He said that he was writing a book from an angel's point of view, his story of the Dramatic Universe in which we have our being.

The difference between an angel and an archangel is that one is barely conscious and the other is a self-realized one, he comes in the name of the Lord Most High and glory shines around and he can awaken some of us with angelic birth to maintain angels in being that are necessary to the work of the will of God Most High.

Self centered egoistic angels and society that goes with them simply are impotent to regenerate and are self-destructing. A self-realized angel is a self-perpetuating structure and organisation that can live for as long as the base on which it was structured prevails.

We should strive to become an angel or be included in the 'body' of an angel and do our work, even if this is being a servant or growing food for our family. Anything less than having an angelic 'body' or being included in an angelic 'body' leaves man as a clever miming animal, because, one's real being is to be angelic in awareness and to be one with the being of the Lord our Creator remembering 'I AM THAT I AM'.

Mr. Bennett explained what he meant by stating examples from the book of Revelations explaining the meaning of the statement "the angel of the church of" The spirit or angel of France a large group of integrated people of small group and large groups how richness of interconnection and interaction resulted in a complex [probabilistic?] system, social organisation, that could become self-conscious or even self-realized in its own right as a being which he equated with angelic being. He drew the diagram as in his book Spiritual

Psychology. Thus:





Diagram 1



[rendition of diagram 1 by editor]

From a point of view of 'I' soul, this is no bifurcation into spirit and matter, but unity in "soul."

He drew the diagram or factors of "I" looking via the abstracted space and time virtual framework




Diagram 2

and drew in his diagram 1 with the spiritual domain turned over on top of the material saying that there is not two but one in both seen from the point of view of "I" of a self realized man.

I said then if this is the case the both would be like this and I sketched out a diagram shown below.





Diagram 3 shows the large scale structure of the universe with a man within it: coming down to our own Galaxy we would have something as shown below:

Diagram shows the large scale structure of the Universe with a man inside it: coming down to our own galaxy we would have something as shown below:


Diagram



Now coming down into our Solar system we have:-

DIA G

(12)



BIOSPHEREIC
BANG.

BANG OF
MANKIND.

BANG OF
FRANCES.

BANG
OF GROUP 'A'
MA BANG


6,000,000,000
INDIVIDUALS.

FRANCE MA BANG
THE SOCIAL MATHS

LARGE GROUP 'A'

JAN 1914

To say more at this stage would be a book rather than a letter [brief] note




Some fourteen days later I have read what I have written here (I did not keep a copy of my first letter to you so I am not sure what I did say in it) To me it seems that the points of most significance are:

- 1) That men come before the angels and because of the self-realisation of one man's group, angels actualized form their potential to be, once in being they become self-perpetuating and have assisted in the development of man and the Biosphere since that time. This must have been a memorable event in very early history of the earth.
- 2) That the Solar Being and all that is within its Six-Dimensional 'Body' is in fact/value, an automaton of extreme complexity of probabilistic self-perpetuating-nature with extreme richness of inner feed back. It is an instrument of "I" as much as IVAN [sic] PRINCE is an instrument of "I" within it.
- 3) That Mr Bennett's Book Spiritual Psychology contains the key of a great awakening for mankind. In the diagrams I have used, this is shown in a dim way, which is the limit of my expression of ideas, but, I can see far more than I have the power to demonstrate in spoken or written words, but the symbol has great power to give experience of great truth of what I am as an instrument of "I" and what work I can do to keep the merry go round going round in joyful play of "I" GOD MOST HIGH.

14th April '81

Having again read and thought about this, I still feel that I have to send this to you. I am not so sure what is my own imagination and what Mr. Bennett's message was. I know all I have said is because of him, what he is now, or what he was. It is a shock to me now for him to have said men were before the angels, this does not invalidate anything he has since said – from Hyponomic Sun (to Autonomic Sun, to angelic Sun) to Hypernomic Sun, three in one, Glory to you our God Most high and for the infinite “I” that sees you to be as it was in the beginning and ever shall be. Amen!! Amen!! Amen!! the I of God is the I of me, me is He, He is me.



“I” and my Father is one

Before Abraham was 'I' am.

I come unto my own and you know me not for I AM HE.

In my spirit I fall down in adoration of He my God. Words simply fail to describe so great a wonder!!!

46 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple
North Devon

18 – 6 – 81

Dear Mr. Blake,

Is it possible that 'Mr. Bennett,' the essence or angel of Mr. Bennett really is in communication with members of his school or institute or with people that he had met very briefly, like me, yet unknown to me with a very marked influence which has germinated suddenly into a very deep sense of awareness of his presence and a sudden ability to understand his ideas expressed in his many books – The Dramatic Universe – Spiritual Psychology – get with the feeling that critical examination and alteration and restructuring of his ideas are required in relationship to each other to ring in the harmony of the truth, as he would now in the light of experience, express it.

His presence is felt in my inner self, subjectively, with no objective experience, although, it, the experience, has changed my objectivity, it looks the same, the world that is, but in some unexplainable way, it is different. If I sit down to read his books I feel an inner stress which is mentally painful. If I sit down to write to you, I feel as if I am being used to communicate something, from him to you, when I allow this to happen, I feel that I am being instructed myself, at the same time, as communicating to you.


Why should this be so, he was a very close associate of yours why not communicate with you directly rather than through me, it can only be perhaps for my sake. All I can do is to have the passive willingness to allow this to happen. I have torn up pages of written statements, that seem sometimes, to have no meaning to me, it is only when they accord with my own experience I have got the courage to send the material to you. If what I communicate to you has significance and hence meaning for you, then, there is no doubt that something very exceptional has happened beyond my ordinary conditioning, indeed it would verify Mr. Bennett's ideas by a practical example or prove, that my imagination has meaning for you, which is equally exceptional.

Why should I have said earlier for instance that that a “critical examination and alteration and restructuring of his ideas are required in relationship to each other, to ring in the harmony of the truth, as he would now, in the light of experience, express it.” Who am I, to say such a thing? Of so great an intellect as Mr. Bennett.


The statement seems to border on a[n] impertinent idea of myself – my only excuse it that I did not say it, but 'I' did or 'He' did. It is like him, Mr. Bennett, 'saying,' “Yes, that critical examination and alteration and restructuring of his ideas are required in relationship to each other to ring [sing?] in the harmony of the truth, as he would, now, in the light of experience, express it.”

“Tell Mr. Blake, he can; tell him everything I tell you.” I now do this. It seemed to me that he wants the whole of his Dramatic Universe re-expressed in the pattern of his Spiritual


Psychology which gives an apex or focal point of the depths of man with 'I.' It appears to my mind, along the lines I have written to you, with illustrations by diagrams, or symbols, with written-in explanations. There would be an almost infinite number of diagrams possible thus:



The intersection of the vector tip, if infinitely small, could trace an infinite number of 2 Dimensional patterns on the surface of [the] sphere. If the length (magnitude) of the vector was variable, it is even more interesting, since, it could trace 3 Dimensional patterns within the volume of the sphere of infinite variety.



[written to right of diagram] Note: Not able to follow this within me but Mr. Bennett points to the importance of the idea of a vector like idea and its freedoms of "length," "spin," and angular rotation about "I" as a model generator




[written on right of diagram]

Beyond me to comment on. Can you?


I can see this on 3 dimensions of space and 3 dimensions of time, solid space and solid time.

Hypo/Hyper 4 dimensional surface our space time world vector amplitude and spin being within space time field

More than one vector the pattern generator of the model



interaction? Spin polarity? Bunching, orbiting groups, about each other



[to left]

Vector amplitudes?

Oscillations?

Standing Waves?

Propagation Velocity


C to [infinity] form waves along vector group waves move velocity C around circumference

[to right]

quantum transitions?

10^{270} vector variable magnitudes? What would the picture be?

From such a starting point I feel Mr. Bennett pointing me to go on saying build a model in your mind of a Dramatic Universe, if you can,



[written to right]

stable state layers = world complete within the surface finite unbounded.

You are the creator, and that I told you

$I + I + I = I$, $AM + AM + AM = \text{multiplicity}$


I [dispersing?] is benediction, 'I' converging [crossed out] coalescing is love.

Ask the question is 'I' the generator of the vectors? What would 10^{270} I's effect? Identified? with 10^{270} 'Am's the vectors? Since 'I' can disperse infinitely, is 'Am' the spin (+) or (-) on polarity. The building of your model is the work of 'I'.

Mr. Blake; These silly ideas are planted in my thoughts, like, – Mr. Bennett saying, You need a lesson in social groupings; don't you think that there ought to be with 10^{27} self 'aware' electrons, realizing there[sic] full potential, in groupings and interactions.

'I' 'AM' GOD, GOD 'AM' 'I', 'I' 'AM' THAT 'I' 'AM'.

SPLIT THE WOOD 'I' AM THERE, WHERE YOU ARE 'I' AM ALSO, 'I' AM WITH YOU ALWAYS. FROM THE SMALLEST TO THE GREATEST FROM SUB-SUB-PARTICLE TO THE CREATION IN TOTAL, WHAT YOU ARE I AM, 'AMING' IS MY WORK.



NOW BEGIN. BUILD YOUR MODEL IF YOU MUST.

COME SIT WITH "I" – HOW DO YOU LIKE BEING A GALAXY? – NO! NO! I SAY
COME SIT WITH "I" – HOW DO YOU LIKE BEING AN ANT? – NO! NO! NO! I SAY
COME SIT WITH "I" – HOW DO YOU LIKE BEING AN ELECTRON. NO! NO! NO!
NO! I SAY COME SIT WITH "I" – HOW DO YOU LIKE BEING A MAN – YES! NO!
YES! NO! I SAY
COME SIT WITH "I" – HOW DO YOU LIKE BEING MAN, ANGELIC, WHOLE MAN,
YES! YES 'I DO, 'I ALSO, THIS IS MY ABODE, STOP PROWLING AROUND MY
CAMP AND FIRE LIKE A HUNGRY WOLF, COME SUP WITH US AND BE AT
HOME.

Mr. Blake,

I wrote all this exactly as it comes within me. I hope this is of value to you; above, when is is written MAN, ANGELIC MAN, WHOLE MAN, it seemed to be, what man essentially spiritually & materially is, in reality [sic], in almost exactly the meaning Teilhard de Chardin expresses in his books. If Christ is whole Man, complete Man, angelic Man, anywhere in creation, where he has arisen, then truly he is Son of Man, and Son of God, the abode of "I", which of "I" no words can express, but he Christ told us once.

When I have written this and refer to Galaxy, or star, or electron, or a man, or Man, or Angel, or buttercup, or ant, I don't see it, or them, split up into selves, essential parts or material parts, or systems, or spirit or anything else. I simply live with them.

SUP WITH ME FIRST, THEN ASK FOR THE RECIPE, HE SAYS

When I see you, when we meet, and you see (me)

I, we will 'know', it will depend from where we see each other, from in ourselves, from there must we start to build an abode for 'I'.

Yours sincerely,

Ivan Prince

Barnstaple,

North Devon

19-6-81

Dear Mr. Blake,

Today, I have read what I have written to you yesterday, in my 'normal' Ivan state (What is he? He is 53 years old, married, with a married daughter and grandson and a son. He is a harassed company sales director trying to find £6 million of business for his company each year which keep[s] 265 people employed in Barnstaple and about another 45 subcontractors employed also; (with their families and dependents, about 1000 people in all) a great birder, particularly in this time of recession. He is a trained telecommunications engineer with H. N. D., TRCH ENG (C. E. I.), but of poor general education, from a poor background of a working class family, but has done not so badly as it is said, an experienced manager and design-development Director, and group leader.)

I feel tempted to tear everything up and ignore all that has happened within me, how strong is the pull of 'normal' life habits and attitudes, and what a mighty force holds us in the grips of egoism and selfishness, what a terrible struggle to break free from the 'narcotic' doing of being normal, nice, and a likeable chap, doing when in Rome, what the Romans do. However it is done, am I a 'horizontal' fool, or, an in-fact 'vertical' saint? I know that I am being put to the test, to prove one or the other, God have mercy on me. Christ said that to enter the Kingdom of Heaven one is stripped down to the core getting through the eye of a needle and that one is reborn of Fire and Spirit. He is absolutely right. Somewhere in the Kingdom, a new babe is hopefully about to be born.

Sincerely Yours,

Ivan Prince

Barnstaple, Devon

22-6-81


Dear Mr. Blake,

Thank you for your letter of the 12th June 81. You have said – “It is only man who can reveal to God that He is nothing but the source of all deception of Himself.”

This accords with my experience, but it is a great secret knowledge that can only be known by a particular knowledge of one's “self” and “selves” in both a “horizontal and “vertical” view, a terrible tension to sustain of expectation in Work. Men strive to create models of the universe that resolve this conflict into Harmony & self realization. The striving is the deception of himself being overcome, which leads to “self-realization,” the Self-realization of Man is the SELF-REALIZATION in God of 'I,' 'T' AM, THAT 'T' AM.

I AM two or three gathered together in my name is a good approximation in a good family meal in concord 'ANGELS' 'WHOLE MEN,' 'THE INTEGRATED GROUPS AT ALL LEVELS OF THEM SELVES,' IS THE ABODE OF 'T' THROUGHOUT ALL CREATION (EXISTENCE/ESSENCE FIELD).

SELF REMEMBERING AND REALIZATION OF [HRAURN?]



[top] (FATHER) 'I'

[left base point] (SPIRIT), WHOLE MAN, ANGELIC MAN (SON OF MAN, SON OF GOD)

[right base point] 'GOD' (SON) (except by me no one cometh unto the Father)

[arrows are drawn pointing in both directions along each side of the triangle]

[next diagram a circle, with these labels along the right half-arc of circumference, arrows pointing to segments of the circle]

SUN-BEING

DEMIURGE

ABODE of 'I'

ANGELIC

WHOLE MAN

[inside the circle is written]

'I' [at the center]

ANGELIC

MAN [each as cones projecting from center to circumference]

'GOD' [in middle of lower half of circle]

It appears, present within me, the knowing, being, and understanding, that; complete man, whole man is not above or below, anything, or being, he is what he is, the best I can say it that he 'is' a hybrid of every-thing above and below him, the abode of 'I'. But, 'is', is not meaning existence , or essence, or spirit, or matter, or fact or value, there just is not a word to convey the experience, but he is all of them in unity and in complementarity uniting with "God" he is the abode of "I" – "“When 'I' work all is kept in tune, in harmony, in “dynamic harmony” by a mutual belonging of everything with everything else. 'I' am peace, 'I' am love, 'I' am repose, LOVE YOU ONE ANOTHER.”” Thank you for your letter.

Ivan Prince

NOTE “ANGEL” in the sense that Mr. Bennett conveys has special meaning, it is not the same as his “DEMIURGE”

Barnstaple
Devon
29-6-81

Dear Mr. Blake,

For some reason I have not been able to send the appended letters or notes to you. I am not a very good instrument for receiving and transmitting such ideas. I hope that I have done my part to the best of my ability. I have learnt something from this, that is, very simple. We are not our own, and, I say it to my self, to remind myself each day, I am not my own. We are 'HIS', growing food for a family, or striving to become an 'angel', being a saint or a fool we can only ask for 'His' Grace, when 'He' looks, we 'know', and it is a great surprise, for it is nothing at all that we think it is, there is no way that we could think our way into the Kingdom of Heaven at all.

Since it can not be thought, it can not be written or spoken; we can only use written or spoken words as a finger pointing the way, but how much better to follow a guide who knows the way. Mr. Bennett left a pointing finger in his works, but, he also is holding out a helping hand. He was a 'swimmer' that found his way home, he waits (you Mr. Blake) to build a "ship" or complete the building of his "ship", you have the plans, and pray that the sails will be filled with the spirit of Love, that can take as many home that are worthy of passage. This ship is an "angel' with wings" that can pass the straight, strate [sic] way, through an eye of a needle, she is named SYNTHEMATICS by Grace of God and 'I Lord Most High.

Yours sincerely,

Ivan Prince

PORTLAND

DORSET

30-6-81

Dear Mr. Blake,

Today I am sitting in a Hotel bed room. I am just Ivan Prince a Sales [Dealer?] concerned for my company's business, looking forward to going home to see my visiting daughter and grandson and her husband. Wondering if I should post my letters or notes to you. When I read what I have written in my ordinary life, it appears silly & childish, but, from another point of view it is an infant in the Kingdom within stirring to life in the 'Real World' and being used as a messenger in 'this world' to pass something to you. I can only leave this to you to judge if it has meaning for you. If it is abberation on my part then please accept my apologies for intrusion on you.

Ivan Prince

14th July '81

Dear Mr. Blake

I pick up my pen to write to you with a feeling [of] apprehension & expectancy almost bordering on fear, the fear of 'waking' with a 'blackness', an 'emptiness', a 'nothingness', a 'nothingness' that is beyond 'something' called nothing, yet, with the feeling, rather than the thought, that this 'nothing', this 'nobody' AM 'I', yet in some strange way because of 'I'. I am writing to you and I know you will understand ||| because in Tony Blake AM 'I' also. 'I' is not great or small, mighty or weak, responsible or irresponsible, Holy or UnHoly, insistent or not insistent, clear or [sicly?], only Ivan or you can be this, but 'I' AM 'I'. When 'I' see 'I', 'I', AM A SILENT RADIANT BLACKNESS, when 'I' look outwards 'I' am 100,000 million galaxies. 'I' AM GOD, AN ANT, AND YOU, AND HE.


:|| I hear 'I' say, to me Ivan and you Mr. Blake, don't be surprised how 'I' do this if one electron beam sweeping across the face of an Cathode Ray tube in your lounge can cause images to make you laugh or cry to the depth of your being, do not be surprised when 'I' turn around, that 'I' create the universe around (ME) 'I' in this infinite complexity with the sweep of my 'Gaze'.

Why do 'I' do this? Because, I told you 'I' was lonely and 'I' said 'I' will see an image of 'I', 'I' saw and was pleased, He saw and was pleased. When you see are you not pleased also. ||

When 'I' Ivan is talking about 'I' from Ivan's point of view (of accepting a wrong identification for the purpose of communication with "normalized people". He remains aware in his 'Heart' of the Light and Glory of the Transcendent 'I' within the deepest or heights and apex of himself, the immanence of 'I' is a radiant blackness of purity. It is pure because it is 'absolutely no thingness' and "absolutely no body", 'I' AM, THAT, I AM, WAS AND EVER SHALL BE IN ALL ETERNITY.

'I' have told you when 'I' see, 'I' am GOD, and ant, and you, IVAN, and Mr. Bennett. For three different reasons you each have pleased me for 'I' have by means of you three a work, a work to be done, in heaven and earth, for my people, 'my camp', 'my abode.'


In all my creation that it has been given men of earth to see there are only few, very few, abodes for (me) 'I', for one, 'I' would, and will maintain 100,000 million galaxies, yes, just one, who will willingly share the life I gave him, at one with me. For 'I' said 'I' am LONELY AND I WILL SEE 'HE', A LIKENESS UNTO MY SELF, 'HE' SAID THY WILL BE DONE, - KNOW IVAN AND TONY BLAKE THOU ART 'HE' IN 'HE'. NO MATTER YOUR COLOUR, RACE, OR CREED.



[written to right of diagram:]

NOTE: SEEN FROM 'I' APEX LOOKING DOWN, NOT FROM 'I' LOOKING UP WHICH IS VOID. WHEN I Ivan looks he sees the UNIVERSE 'HE' AROUND HIM WITH WONDER AND FEELS 'I' VERY FAR DEEP WITHIN HIM BEYOND REACH, but, I Ivan knows even if he is THE HAND I = 'I' and will return.

THE SIGN SHALL BE FOR THE NEW REVELATION TO COME -



[written to right of diagram]

a vivid imagination of mine. Ivan Don't know significance of this. Ivan

Moses was the Law, Jesus was the way, Mohammad was the how. Mr. JGB was 'a voice in the wilderness' pointing to a new Prophet to come, we are to prepare the way for our modern world with hope for the offsprings and sons of the marriage of 'Islam' with the wisdom of science and our [extended?] faculties aided by technology and applying the wisdom of our spiritual fathers. For true science is the wisdom of our fathers in a different jargon and guise. I have seen that – (struggle to find expression and words and ideas or response)

[Omega] point	=	'I' =	Father =	ALLAH
(Teilhard de Chardin)		(Jesus)		(Mohammed)

and that our 'solar' being one abode of 'I' is –

'GOD' CHRIST	=	'HE' =	HUWA.
SOLAR UNIVERSAL 'MAN'			The living God

Messengers	=	'WE' =	ARCH-ANGELS
Prophets, Schools, Ways		IN 'HE'	(Mr. Bennett)

'CIVILISATIONS'

TEACHERS, SAINTS	=	me =	ANGELS.
------------------	---	------	---------

CULTURES

'I' LOST IN I FASCINATION IN
HORIZONTAL WORLD VIEW
AWA[KE?]
WRONG POSSIBILITY TAKEN (LOST)

'IN WE'

me 'I' WILL, UP AND RETURN TO MY
FATHER'S HOUSE "REMEMBER

19th July 81

Dear Mr. Blake,

What a mystery that I, am in conflict with 'I', when I, am an image of 'I'. By analogy, what a danger there is, that a Machine Robot (Intelligent Cybernetic Automaton) ("i"), could be in conflict in the same way with I, its creator. I think that Mr. Bennett saw this analogy when talking to me about Information Theory, Cybernetic and probability theory, and suggested for the first time in the life of man, that man could dimly see what angels were.

What a strange thing to suggest to me that there was a connection between Cybernetics and Angels (I am only just seeing there is). His, reminding me of this, as I have written to you in the last few weeks, indicates something in this connection of importance for mankind. He now asks you Mr. Blake can you see the connection between Islamic Sufism and Cybernetics the science of information and control. I ask myself this question ---

Are the selves of MAN 1 to 4, 4---> (see my letters) a hierarchy of automata in cybernetic a sense. Could this be what Mr. Bennett saw, I feel there is something of great importance in this notion. I feel also that his *The Dramatic Universe* is the model, a vast Cybernetic Model, by analogy of what [crossed out "reality"] creation is, thank goodness that 'I' 'is' other than creation since I am in it a 'machine ghost' in ghosts or at the best a 'living machine ghost' in living ghosts, miming in a 'machine ghost' way the acts of 'I' in whose image I am. I am 'I', looking sideways --- Oh dear! || words simply fail me.

Ivan Prince

[No date, indexed as XX July (J 1-4)]

Mr. Blake, I hear Mr. Bennett say:

How fortunate are those to have a true Spiritual Guide or Guru or “Doctor” of the soul to bring about their spiritual birth (or from my experience 'angelic' birth) and to ease one's transformation and regeneration into the Kingdom of Heaven for one enters there naked and empty of body, emotion, and thought, but, 'I' shines above, in at first a total blackness, then a radiant hierarchy of being, that like as a child one first becomes aware of, with feeling of great joy, for 'I' is again, 'am' is again, in a hierarchy of being that has 'Heart,' 'Head' and 'Body,' the AM, THAT 'I' AM, that I am.

He says tell Mr. Blake, spell out this letters word

TUBRETHMIA <-----It sounded like this. Ivan

for him this is all that needs be said. Tell him the fruits of all his labour is in his hand also that the TRUE teacher is the one that has tasted the fruit, not the one that can articulate about its taste who has never tasted it, for it is not like anything that can be articulated about, except in Hyperbole, and the exaggeration can mask the simple truth, a kick up the pants from an old Zen master might be much more effective, and that the Greatest Guru is the one that leads us to taste our own fruits, the only way to effect our spiritual birth and reintegration sometimes a bitter experience to gain a hold of eternity, what is horizontal is in time, , 'AM'—I am and transient, what is vertical is of eternity and that is 'I'—I AM

I = NO THING, OR BEING, IT IS WHAT IT IS, ETERNALLY.

I1 + I2 + I3 - - - - + I[infinity] = I

MONAD DYAD TRIAD

AM = A THING OR BEING, IT IS WHAT IT IS, TRANSIENT IN TIME

$Am_1 + Am_2 + Am_3 - - - - + Am_{[infinity]} =$ MULTIPLICITY
RELATIVITY OF SEPARATE

THINGS

AND BEINGS

AMNESS = 'I' OR 'NO THING' SPLIT OR WITH A CRACK IN IT

$I = (+AM) + (- AM) = 0$

OR, $(I_z) + (-I_z) = AM$

ONLY I AM, WHEN, I AM ETERNALLY SELF REGENERATING WILLFULLY SELF PERPETUATING, IT IS POSSIBLE, IT IS THE

'KINGDOM OF HEAVEN' it is 'he' in 'He' in 'HE, in 'He', it is to 'BE'[?]

OR (I THE SPIRIT) + (-I THE FATHER) = I AM THE SON

[above line crossed out]

I can't quite get it


Dear Mr. Blake,

I hope this means something for you all this wells up from within me uncalled for at the most awkward times. I send it to you in case there is some value in what is said. I now just let it happen and not worry about it, or I would doubt my sanity at times. A great deal is lost for it is not possible to write it all down at the time and it is forgotten, the interlinking subtle meaning is gone like the wind and can not be held onto. The experience is real enough but the words are just shadows of it on paper.

July 81

Dear Mr. Blake,

Thank you for your letter. I will reply in detail to every thing you have said at some time in the near future. When I read what I have written to you on the 14th July, I am amazed that I could ever have written it, it reduces me to a feeling of great sadness and great joy at the same time. This sounds mumbo-jumbo, but, I will say it: Because of 'I' and 'He', their[sic] is THOU AND THINE, and I and me.



[written to right of diagram:]

* = SPIRITUAL, VALUE, BEING,

+

* = MATERIAL, FACT, BEING:

(Thank you Mr. Bennett.)

(HE)

<----FINITE UNBOUNDED

WORLD OF SCIENCE AND THE EGO

<----FLESH, BLOOD, BONES, BRAIN, HEART, AND

NERVES.

THE IMAGE of 'HE' (WORLD 7)

It is given to me [several words cut off the scan?]

a real man has 'depth' and 'height' and 'breadth'


(1-4)

(4-7)

(me-He)

wherein 'I' dwells. A real man is a 'society' in which faculties mentally complete by sharing with member elements of others.

A blind man who can walk carrying on his shoulders a sighted man who can't walk, together



they are effective in function, separate they are handicapped.

[text to right of diagram:]

TRUE SELF (NOT 'I' BUT BRIDGE BETWEEN TWO DOMAINS)

INTELLECT MATRIX (Thank you Mr. Bennett.)

SENSITIVITY MATRIX

SOCIAL MATRIX (8 MEN)

[text to left of diagram]


A body of true and mutual supporting elements

I hope this is clear. It is to[o] complex to illustrate effectively.

I know this is true from experience and experiment with project design and development groups, in finding supporting faculties to complete those missing in me, as leader, for a dynamic and effective creative working team, the team experiencing synergic communication and empathy in its objective to complete the project under pressure, a commitment to a single minded objective, the potential becomes actuated.

The exoteric focal point of Islamic Society is Mecca, the esoteric focal point is the 'Heart' within a man, the historical focal point was the Prophet, and the Spirit/Angel of Islam is the abode of 'I' (Allah), the spirit or Angel of Islam is all the people of Islam in an esoteric sense, their cannot be a Sufi Master or Saint outside Islam. There can be a Christian Master or Saint or a Zen Master or Saint within the Spirit of Angel of a Society of Christians or Buddhists

which also is the abode of 'I', thus:



[text between the diagrams:]

<---ESOTERIC INNER WORKING

-----> A MODEL FOR ----->

NEW SYNTHESIS. FOR

ALL PEOPLE OF THE EARTH A UNIVERSAL WAY

<-----EXOTERIC SOCIAL MATRIX VALUES + FACTS----->

[below the diagram there is a line of text cut off, but possibly:]

[???] ISLAM IS MY SPIRIT

[???] SYSTEMATCS IS MY SPIRIT

July 81

Dear Mr. Blake,

For the first time on the earth there is a universal comprehension and language understood and seen by all men, SCIENCE. Albeit at the lowest level of their being at subsistence level. Mr J.G.B. wrote his Dramatic Universe using this universal language and culture, cross translating from other cultures the wisdom and experience of diverse people in a structured systematic way. It is too ponderous, circuitous to its conclusion, but, so wonderful. He should have stated the conclusion first and given then, arguments to support it, his first appeal should be to the Heart, his 'A Spiritual Psychology' did this, it has a centre to which a man may aspire with hope, faith and love, and hold onto. I have for fifteen years and tested this against every known teaching that I could understand and the symbolic structure is alive dynamic and is a basis of finding truth and meaning in the mystical experience and teachings of our spiritual fathers & teachers, in politics, in engineering, project management, in company management, in social large group activity, in nationhood, the world and the Universe and God.

Ivan Prince

[indexed as Jx1-2]

July 81

Dear Mr. Blake,

For the first time on the earth there is a universal comprehension and language understood and seen by all men, SCIENCE. Albeit at the lowest level of their being at subsistence level. Mr J.G.B. wrote his Dramatic Universe using this universal language and culture, cross translating from other cultures the wisdom and experience of diverse people in a structured systematic way. It is too ponderous, circuitous to its conclusion, but, so wonderful. He should have stated the conclusion first and given then, arguments to support it, his first appeal should be to the Heart, his 'A Spiritual Psychology' did this, it has a centre to which a man may aspire with hope, faith and love, and hold onto. I have for fifteen years and tested this against every known teaching that I could understand and the symbolic structure is alive dynamic and is a basis of finding truth and meaning in the mystical experience and teachings of our spiritual fathers & teachers, in politics, in engineering, project management, in company management, in social large group activity, in nationhood, the world and the Universe and God.


Ivan Prince

October 81

Dear Mr Blake,

I was, and am still, a soul like thousands of millions of souls out of harmony with the greater-cosmos the middle cosmos the mini-cosmos because the mini-cosmos that I am is sick and in need of healing, I am sick because the society and times in which {I live} is sick.


{I am}



The society and times in which I am, is sick, because the 'Angel' of my society and times is sick. My infant nature was dependent on my sick society for its material development and my sick 'Angel' for its spiritual development.

It has been given to me to see that a [mere?] me, a created creature, when made well, whole, complete, dare I say 'holy', IS - FUNCTIONS - WILLS like this - they group with others into Angels, and Angels group into the Most High Lord Our God in internal mutual agreement and support in a kind of symbiotic structure.

God in eternal mutual agreement and support in a
of symbiotic structure.




41 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple
N Devon

Dec 18th, 81

Dear Mr. Blake,

I know it is all finished for an indeterminate time and I must rest from the great tension in being aware of two worlds, two states at the same time. I know it, it's that I have to live out my life as an 'horizontal man', but I am thankful that by 'Grace of the Most High' and Mr. JG Bennett, receive I do in a way beyond my comprehension. As to why me, for I am not worthy of such, I don't know, but I now know, not by thoughts, or feeling, or sense or intuition or inspiration in the sense of inspired thought or feeling but by: more like the tangible inner presence of what is most Real, most High, most Deep, which is 'I', then who am 'I?', it is 'I' over willing me to be in 'He' in He, for me, in 'He' in He, is the abode of 'I'.

A TREE SYMPOLISES THIS WELL not a misspelling Ivan



(see Mr. JGB's DRAMATIC UNIVERSE.)

[to left of diagram]

Thank you Mr. Bennett for showing me our tree and that there are others (but very few) rooted in 'I'

[branches labelled] 'He' 'He' (ANGELS); trunk labeled HE (THE LORD GOD MOST HIGH; below base of trunk labeled 'I'; smallest branches labeled 'Thee' 'TONY' and 'me' 'IVAN' with the word HALLO! Between them]

[an arrow curves around from 'I' at base to the 'HALLO!' and is labeled “*SELF-MUTUAL RECOGNIZEATION!*” with the notation *not a misspelling Ivan*]

HE is a living 'tree', because of He, and 'He', and me, and thee, I 'AM' eternally

He grows out of 'I', and 'I' become aware in He, because of 'He', and thee.

Ivan Prince

46 ELIZABETH DRIVE
BARNSTAPLE
DEVON

21st DEC 81

Dear Mr. Blake


When I received your letter of the 25th Nov 81 I understood your comments and your questions but was not able to formulate an answer from my self. I wrote a short letter to you, prior to your letter being received, which I now have enclosed. It has some relevance to your question. When I was inspired to write that letter, Mr. JGB was indicating the significance of HALLO! as an expression of mutual 'self' recognition and I wrote the letter and since thinking about you and your letter have been struggling to put into words something at first not very clear and I am not sure now that I can convey the experience.

[Diagram labeled "1"; cone with "I" at the top and reciprocal "Hallo!" projected into the plane of its base]

THE HALLO! BETWEEN TWO MEN IS HORIZONTAL (IN SPACE TIME)

[Diagram labeled "2": cone with "I" at the top and reciprocal "Hallo!" using arrows that travel top to bottom]

THE HALLO! BETWEEN A MAN AND HIS ANGEL IS VERTICAL (IN SPACE AND ETERNITY)



I have been given to see something that is so profound, and startling, that it turns all my (our) ideas upside down, all my preconceptions inside out, that I held until[sic] Mr. JGB 'spoke' to me. It is so simple that for me it is almost unbelievable, or unacceptable, for most, it would be inconceivable at all. The clouding of our perception by cultural conditioning is almost total, clouds so, so thick, that it is only just possible to sometimes glimpse the 'moon', let alone any idea of the 'Sun of Truth', yet the 'Sun of Truth' shines deep within us letting us see, letting us see beyond by contrast the Deep, the Absolute Centre, from whence all radiates, THE 'I', and what is this "I", it is ABSOLUTELY 'NO THINGNESS' EMPTY VOID, SPACELESS TIMELESS, BEINGLESS, THERE IS NO-THING TO BE COMPARED WITH IT, IT IS WHAT IT IS, IT IS NEITHER GREAT OR SMALL, MIGHTY OR WEAK, YET – IT IS INFINITE POTENTIAL THE STOREHOUSE OF ALL POSSIBILITY AND IMPOSSIBILITY, of probability and improbability, such as our Universe, the Galaxies, Stars, Buttercups and Daisies, me, you, angels and archangels and Lord the Most High. The (our) Lord Most High is as a light shining in the darkness that the darkness can never now put

out. The light is the light of LOVE which is the 'the Hello' of mutual self recognition, from the, in depth totem [~~"pole"~~] of 'I'. I thank you the Lord Most High our God when you actualized from infinite potential, that you willed to see a likeness of yourself because you were lonely and longed for fellowship. With my life which you gave me I adore you and in the presence of your angels strive striving with you to bring about a new creation from the infinite potential of 'I', that will continue when our star, the sun is no more. A new Heaven a new Earth where the lion will lay down with the lamb and death shall be no more is here, "darkness" will be overcome and its jaws of entropy is even now defeated in part.

The Lord Most High with His Angels have prepared this 'place' and abode, come says he stay with us, and be at home a living eternity of love, of light and playful joy in fully aware consciousness, in an outer darkness of unconscious change, of twilight worlds of transient life in material structure

1982

46 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple, Devon

[written lightly at top left:]

This is the most important insight I have received, for me. Ivan

6 Jan. 82

Dear Tony Blake,

How I see reality now by Grace and Teaching of Mr. J.G. B. is somehow like this:

(1)



Fathomless – Nothingness – Beingless – Unconscious –

It is what it Is = Infinite Potentiality = 'I' = Formless Energy

(2)




Infinite Potentiality is the well and source of what is possible and impossible, including the probable and improbable actualization of the possible or impossible




(3)

Mr. J. G. B. says – “As one sits and sees a Jumbo Jet jetting its way across the blue sky, it must occur to you that out of the infinite reservoir of potentiality of the here and now Universe, a Jumbo Jet jetting its way across the blue sky, must have been possible at some time in the past. 'I consciousness' (which is also an actualized potentiality) actualize the potential by feedback a willful act (which is also an actualized potentiality) of realizing the possible from the potential, at the point when it is probable. 'I' have to see the possibility in the potential to actualize it, 'I' can only see it if it is possible.



THIS POTENTIAL IS ALWAYS THE EXACT COMPLEMENT OF THE ACTUAL; ANY ACTUALIZATION OF THE PREVIOUS POTENTIAL, MODIFIES THE PREVIOUS POTENTIAL TO A NEW POTENTIAL, THAT IS THE EXACT COMPLEMENT OF THE NEW ACTUAL STATE. THE POSSIBLE GROWS. EVERY ACTUALIZATION GENERATES NEW POTENTIAL POSSIBILITIES.

J.G.B. Shows me this:




I don't quite follow this myself, but, he is insistent, that the feedback between potential and actual which was random to start with, with the most probable actualizations occurring first, resulted over a long period, in the actualization of 'I CONSCIOUSNESS' which is, or is, related to, the "richness of feed back" – and the stabilization of inherent instability of the actualizations. He says, he will say again, that: “the particles / electrons came before the sun, cells came before men, men came before angels, angels came before 'the Most High,' actualized from the infinite potential because He was possible although improbable, but now 'He's' a light eternally shining in the darkness, our Lord Most High the I AM – THAT I AM, our, I AM – THAT I AM, not of the whole universe which is only a fraction of the possible actualizations possible, which is not for Him alone, but could be, if 'He' is the one to actualize all potential in total realization. But we are His, he stands above us from time of old with his Angels, invites us to be at home with him and reminds us he was once of man, our forefather, but now our 'Eternal Father in Heaven,' mighty trees do grow from small seeds.

Ivan Prince

7 Jan 82

Portland

Haight's (?) Hotel, Portland



A SEQUENCE TO TRUTH
IN SPACE, TIME, ETERNITY, AND HYPARXIS
T ABSOLUTE NOTHINGNESS

[arrow]

INFINITE POTENTIALITY

[arrow]

POSSIBILITY AND IMPOSSIBILITY

(SPONTANEOUS IMPULSE)

[arrow]

RANDOM ACTUALIZATIONS OF HIGH PROBABILITY

[arrow]

RANDOM ACTUALIZATIONS OF LOW PROBABILITY

[arrow]

BASIC GALACTIC STRUCTURES AND GAS
DISTRIBUTION. RADIATION FIELDS. PLASMA. ROC[K].

[arrow]

(SUN) STELLAR FORMATION

[arrow]

(EARTH) PLANETS

[arrow]

(BIOSPHERE) LIFE

[arrow]

MAN OF OLD

SELF-REALIZED IN ANGELS

[arrow]

ANGELS

SELF-REALIZED IN THE LORD MOST HIGH

[arrow]

OUR GOD, HE, THE LORD MOST HIGH

ACTUALIZED ETERNAL SELF REALIZATION I AM THAT I AM

[arrow]

SOME DEFECTION OF ANGELS

INTO POWER CENTRED SOCIETY BY KINGS USURPING

ANGELIC POWER, HORIZONTAL SOCIETY

(arrow)

DEFECTION OF MOST MEN AND FALL

INTO EGO CENTRICITY AND 'HORIZONTAL' VIEW

(arrow)

THE MASTERS OF WISDOMS. TRANSFORMATION AND RETURN

(arrow)

PATH TO DEATH

PATH TO LIFE

'DEATH'
*“(GOATS)”

[arrows]

'LIFE'
*“(SHEEP)”

OUR GOD THE LORD MOST HIGH SAYS, ARE YOU NOT SATISFIED THAT I AM ETERNAL SELF REALIZATION, ETERNALLY SELF REGENERATING, WHOLE, COMPLETE. OH! DEFECTING ANGELS AND MEN COME HOME THERE IS NO WHERE ELSE FOR YOU TO GO, FOR I AM THE WAY, THE LIFE, AND TRUTH, AND IT IS GIVEN TO ME TO CHANGE THE VERY FOUNDATIONS OF THE WORLD, EVEN 'NOTHING' IS SUBJECT TO THE ETERNAL, THE NOTHING FROM WHOSE WOMB I CAME, WHERE I WAS ETERNALLY POTENTIAL. I AM BY WAY OF MEN OF OLD AND ANGELS. I AM HERE, I CAME, I AM, THE LIGHT SHINING IN DARKNESS AND 'NOTHING' CAN NOT NOW EVER PUT ME OUT, THE SECRET IS MINE TO GIVE OR WITHHOLD.

I IVAN SAYS, YOUR WILL BE DONE, FATHER OF OLD, YOUR KINGDOM COME, DELIVER US FROM TEMPTATION AND SHOW US THE WAY HOME. THE WAY OF MEN OF OLD AND ANGELS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR ANGEL OF LIGHT IN OUR TIME, MR. J. G. BENNETT AND HIS SCHOOL.

THE QUESTION WILL BE ASKED, HOW DID THE FIRST ACTUALIZATION OCCUR FROM INFINITE POTENTIALITY IN NOTHING? THE FIRST ACTUALIZATION OF HIGH PROBABILITY COULD HAVE TAKEN AN INFINITY OF TIME ALMOST, BUT, IT WAS NOT IN TIME THAT IT OCCURRED ALONE, BUT IN ETERNITY AND HYPARXIS, AND SPACE. SAYS MR. J.G.B., IT IS, ETERNALLY. THE LORD OUR GOD SAYS I DON'T KNOW WHY, OR, HOW, BUT I'M PLEASED IT DID, AND SO SHOULD YOU BE PLEASED ALSO, IF YOU LIKE, CALL AN INFINITE GOD SMALL OR LARGE, THIS FATHOMLESS 'I', THE ETERNAL TOTEM, THE FATHERLESS FROM NOTHING, BUT NOW I AM THAT I AM ALWAYS. HA! HA! HA! HA! HA! HA! HA! HAW! HAW! THE LORD CAN LAUGH. WITH HIS ANGELS AND MEN, COME LAUGH WITH ME HE SAYS IN GRACE JOY



THAT YOU ARE AND, CAN ASK THE QUESTION OF YOURSELF, WHO AM I.

Ivan Prince

[note in corner: X : NOT SENT ORIGINALLY]

22nd July 82

Dear Tony:

What I have written to you over the last year is an expression of insights, inspiration, & revelation given to me by Mr. J. G. B., or, because of Mr. J. G. B., or from He that is above, within and without. What I experienced is a great surprise for it in some ways turns what Mr. J.G. B. has said in his work THE DRAMATIC UNIVERSE upside down, but still leave what he has said exactly true from the perspective from which it was written. It is a shift in perspective that he is pushing me to communicate and he pulls my inner awareness and vision to that point of view.

I have read many times what I have written to you. I apologise for such poor impetuous writing, it does express to some extent what he wants to say for myself, but, it probably is a lot of nonsense for you in many respects. I'm not very able to express such complex ideas in written english and I resort to diagrams to help to express my meaning, but perhaps I shall improve with practice. A very distinct experience is what I'm expressing. I have the outer world and Mr. J. G. B.'s books in it that I read. I have the inner world and Mr. J. G. B. expressing ideas about his works (which is quite distinct from my own) sometimes contradicting what he said in his books.

Out of the long sequence of truth 'I' told you about; I AM THAT I AM for you, the Lord Most High, Mr. J. G. B.'s Cosmic Individual in which you have your being and 'I' mine. As 'I' told you from Electron to Archangel to the Lord Most High all is equal before 'I', “When you know that you are equal to an Archangel you are 'one' with him, who told you, you are not. Oh! defecting Angels you give me endless sorrow and tribulation. Oh! man why have you been led astray. I came into my own and you know me not, up and return to your Fathers house.”

Jesus said, “I and my Father are one” and that we are one with Him, He alone is God, and hence it is our lack of goodness that separates us from Him.

Find out what the look of goodness is, it is not lacking in 'I', but in 'I AM'NESS Everything that I write is linked with Mr. J.G.B[s] book 'A SPIRITUAL PSYCHOLOGY' and my conversation with him in 1963.

After several months the impulse to communication sometimes floods in upon me from out of the deep of myself. I can see know and understand what is to be communicated from him, but I can not find the verbal patterns to express it, or the correct diagrammatic illustrations,

--The Jumbo Jet flying across
the blue sky --

- the baby smiles --
- Jam for tea -
- TONY --

Tony, do you know what this says?

In the beginning all was void, and darkness was on the face of the deep, but all was potential: dimensionless, un-actual unrealized, un-conscious, Beingless, Will-less, Functionless, Selfless, nothingness. - 'T', Speechless timeless neither small nor great. It was potential that 'T' divide into 'T' + 'T' and 'AM' was, 'T' did it again and 'T' AM.

'T'
 'T' 'T'
 'T' 'T' 'T' 'T'
 'T' 'T' 'T' 'T' 'T' 'T' 'T' 'T'

it is potential that 'T' do it again, always, THAT 'T' AM. THERE IS NO HIERARCHY OF 'T' ONLY OF AM. I AM, WHAT I AM CHOOSES TO BE. IF 'T' AM NOT, THEN NEITHER ARE YOU.

I = NOTHING OR BEING-LESS, WILL-LESS, FUNCTION-LESS POTENTIAL ONLY WHEN 'T' DIVIDE IS THERE BEING, FUNCTION & WILL, SPACE, TIME, ENERGY, HYPARXIS; A FIELD, YOU AND ME __ NOW SYSTEMATICS IS VIABLE AS AN EXPLANATION.


[Diagram below: arrows below pointing up to various parts of it have the following labels (left to right):]

--COSMIC INDIVIDUALITY SOLAR BEING THE LORD MOST HIGH

--MAN COMPLETE INDIVIDUALITY

--ANGELIC BEING UNIVERSAL INDIVIDUALITY

--UNIVERSAL 'T' FIELD (10^{270} I's)



THE COSMIC INDIVIDUALITY, LORD MOST HIGH, IS AN ACTUALISED POTENTIAL OF OF THIS 'I' UNIVERSAL FIELD ANY 'I' CAN BE ITS FOCAL POINT IN THE 'I' FIELD (FOR US ON THIS EARTH IT WAS THE FIRST SELF REALIZED MAN OF OLD). THE SYSTEMATICS OF Mr. J.G.B. Gives the details of the birth and growth of cosmic individuals anywhere in the 'I' field. Christ said through Saint Paul that the universe waits and groans for the coming of the SONS of GOD. — THE SONS OF 'I',

[FLURRY?] 'I' IS FULL OF LIVING POTENTIAL = SPIRIT == SPIRIT THATS WHAT IT IS. CHRIST WAS THE FIRST COSMIC BEING. OUT OF THE UNIVERSAL 'I' FIELD, SPIRIT FIELD HE ACTUALISES HE IS OUR GOD THE LORD MOST HIGH. IF WE LOVE HIM AND UNITE WITH HIM, OTHERWISE WE ARE ON OUR OWN, WE ARE FREE, TO ACTUALISE OUR OWN POTENTIAL, BUT THE HAZARDS ARE SO GREAT THAT IT IS MILLIONS TO ONE THAT WE WILL NOT SUCCEED IN ATTAINMENT OF OUR GOAL IF WE EVEN HAVE ONE. THERE ARE VERY FEW COSMIC INDIVIDUALS IN THE UNIVERSE FIELD AS ABODES FOR 'I'. SPIRIT. AND 'I' WILL MAINTAIN 1,000,000,000 GALAXIES FOR JUST ONE. MAN GIVES BIRTH TO MAN, ANGELS TO ANGELS, THE LORD MOST HIGH TO THE LORDS MOST HIGH, AND SPIRIT TO SPIRIT. FEAR NOT GREAT OAKS GROW FROM ACORNS. YOU ARE AN ACORN, GROW AND COMPLETE YOURSELF AND SON ACORNS. Mr. J.G.B. SAYS IN HIS TRIAD OF FUNCTION, BEING, AND WILL. READ WILL AS 'I AMING' THE ACT OF AMMING; BEING, AS 'I AM'S' ACTING TOGETHER, AS I AM; AND FUNCTION, AS WHAT THIS ACT IS FOR ___ TO KEEP THE LIGHT BURNING IN DARKNESS.

TONY, RISHI DADA NARAYANA SADASHIVA'S ARTICLE is the nearest approach to the true situation of Horizontal Man I have read, and the nearest to the true situation of the Direct Self-Truth of vertical vision I have read.

I don't think I am an 'eager Ego' to spread its opinions and beliefs. I find it painful, and not eager to suffer. What a mess our world is in, both secular and spiritual, who can be trusted if not men, if not angels – the surely the Lord Most High, Our God. I certainly don't trust my own unintentional motive only the 'I' of myself the only pure point left in me through which SPIRIT is invited. Help Holy SPIRIT, HELP US FROM THIS EGO CAGE OF HORIZONTAL EGOCENTRICITY.

46 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple
Devon

22nd July 81

Dear Mr. Blake,

Mr. J. G. Bennett has left enough clues in his writings, to give the Institute work, as a collaborative body as it should be, to keep it intensely occupied for the rest of its members life. It is the Institute for the comparative study of history, philosophy and sciences. If it carried out this comparative study along the lines indicated by J. G. B., by now, the world of man would already [be] quite different.

I personally feel ashamed of myself for not having pursued the task he gave me 15 or 17 years ago with greater zeal and intensity instead of going around in circles getting nowhere following my own tail. Trying to become a spiritual mongrel unwittingly. I am only to [sic] painfully aware of the present reality of my life and feel humbled before the Most High, and J. G. B.

The task he gave me, as as I have told you, to establish the connection between Information Theory, Cybernetics, Probability Theory, and Angels.

First this means reading and learning and understanding deeply the mathematics required (no mean task) then researching and reading Cybernetics and Information Theory and Probability Theory, and then establishing in experience what angels are, as expressed from the experience of mankind of angels in history. The seeing the connection between them and the subjects. Perhaps J. G. B. will forgive me but he could have misjudged my ability to fulfill such a vast task, but I don't think so, of feel so, perhaps it was because, I would have to be writing to you, as I have done in the last few weeks at this time to tell you everything, as I was compelled to do. You may be right, this may be the end of it, for I feel satisfied that I would have, and have done my little bit, in something vast going on in our world for Our God Most High.

NOTE

REFERENCE: INFORMATION THEORY (AND ITS ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS)

D.A. BELL, M.A., Ph.D. M.I.E.E.

PUBLISHER. PITMAN (1962 THIRD EDIITON)

This is a very good introduction to the subject. Well written and very relevant to my inspiration expressed to you.

In reading this if one thinks of an Information Universe one cannot [start but?] fail to see exciting connection with the idea, (or better experience), of angels.

I think that Mr. G. was right when he said that impressions are food for the brain, and at any one time, there are a limited quantity of the to share around. Information transforms us when digested as a message. Please find attached extracts which I hope makes a point.

Ivan E. Prince


I. E. Prince
46 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple

Devon EX31 3AJ_

20th Nov. 1992

Dear Tony,

Please find enclosed three of my letters that I sent you back in 1981/82. I have about 150 pages to photocopy for the rest. I could find not private photocopying source other than my daughter's machine which has broken down, so it will be next week before I can send the rest of the material (when her photocopier is repaired I hope). All this material needs editing, selecting, & corrected[sic] but I send it as written. Since the time when I first wrote to you during 1981 to 1984 I had a further most unexpected experience due to a friend bringing new ideas from India adding fresh new understanding to what I had received from Mr. J. G. Bennett he showed that the symbols I used such as:




were based on ideas of "TOGETHERNESS", "COMPRESSANT EVENT", 'INTRINSIC COMPRESSENCE,' the CONE LIKE STRUCTURE WERE COMPRESSANT EVENTS. IF THESE HAVE HIGH INTERNAL COMPLEXITY THEY ARE INTRINSICALLY SELF-CONSCIOUS ENTITIES. CYBERNETIC AUTOMATON. THIS AUTOMATON HAS TWO POINTS OF IDENTITY ONE AT "I" ONE AT "E"

SEE ABOVE 'FIG. A'. DATA FLOWING IN AND OUT OF THE ENTITY AT 'E' IS MODIFIED BY A 'PROCESSOR' THE BRAIN.

'I' IS AT THE 'REAL NOW'

'E' IS AT THE 'NOW OF THE BRAIN'

THE 'NOW OF THE BRAIN' IS AT THE END OF A PROCESS. HENCE FROM 'E' WE LOOK INTO A SUBJECTIVE (HORIZONTAL) PAST WORLD EXPERIENCE. SINCE A FINITE TIME IS REQUIRE[D] TO PROCESS DATA IN THE BRAIN,



We can not know the truth from that “subjective past view.” It is to be corrected?

Question

IS A POTENTIAL BEING CONSCIOUS?

AND BEFORE ITS ACTUALIZATION INTO OUR SUBJECTIVE PAST WORLD WE EXPERIENCE AS NOW?

Mr. J. G. Bennett's time is not running out, it is just beginning.

Yours Sincerely,

Ivan Prince

46 Elizabeth Drive
Barnstaple
Devon EX31 3AJ__

24th Nov. 1992

Dear Tony,

Please find herewith copies of letters sent to you during 1981 – 1982 because of Mr. J. G. Bennett's influence and inner contact that started the moment I began to write the letter to the Institute on the 30th March 1981 sending my overdue subscription for membership, as I have already explained.

This I still don't understand. I still feel a great inner urgent pressure & anxiety that I am not doing what I ought to have done or should be doing even now.

I will send you the balance of all the material I had noted down during the period 1981, even as late as 1984, that was not sent to you for various reasons of lack of contact and a “framework” in which I could convey the realization of what I had received.

There seems to be much repetition but here and there some quite original information.

Let me know if you would like this additional material it means a lot of photocopying.

Yours Faithfully,

Ivan Prince